

Grading report for 15-/30-/45-credit degree projects in Geology at Lund University

Grades for degree projects are set by the appointed examining committee (examiner and at least one member of teaching staff, but for 15 credits only examiner) in consultation with the supervisor.

NB Pages 1 and 2 of this document are only intended for use by examiners and examining committees (not to be passed on to the departmental office).

General criteria:

The research results of the project are *not* the primary basis for grading; rather, the grade shall also be based on how the project was carried out. Regardless of the results, it is the planning, undertaking and reporting of the project that are to be assessed (see course plan). The project shall normally be completed within the equivalent of 10, 20 or 30 working weeks for 15, 30 or 45 credits respectively. The grade “excellent” should only be used in exceptional cases.

1. Ability to understand the task set

1. Not demonstrated
2. Fairly poor
3. Good = Pass
4. Very good
5. Excellent

2. Ability to carry out the analyses/ theoretical task

1. Not demonstrated
4. Fairly poor
6. Good = Pass
8. Very good
10. Excellent

3. Knowledge of the theoretical background after completion of the project

1. Not demonstrated
2. Fairly poor
3. Good = Pass
4. Very good
5. Excellent

4. Interpretation and analysis of results

1. Not demonstrated
4. Fairly poor
6. Good = Pass
8. Very good
10. Excellent

5. Independence

1. Not demonstrated
4. Fairly poor
6. Good = Pass
8. Very good
10. Excellent

6. Ambition and interest

1. Not demonstrated
2. Fairly poor
3. Good = Pass
4. Very good
5. Excellent

7. Presentation – oral report

In the oral presentation it shall be clear what the project is about. The presentation shall be adapted to the audience so that their interest in the issue is aroused, and the speaker shall have contact with the audience. The presentation shall be clearly structured, the factual information shall be correct and visual material shall be legible and adapted to the presentation. The speaker shall keep to the time allocated. The speaker shall be able to answer questions and discuss his or her results.

1. Poor
2. Fairly poor
3. Good = Pass
4. Very good
5. Excellent

8. Presentation – written report

The introduction shall place the question within a wider context. The presentation of the background, problem and results shall be clear and logical, and any statistical calculations shall be relevant. The conclusions that are drawn shall be derived from the results and be well supported. The discussion shall place the results in a wider context and evaluate any weaknesses in the study. Relevant literature shall be referred to objectively in the report, and both references and the list of references shall be laid out correctly. Figures and tables shall be clear and intelligible without any other information than that contained in the accompanying text. The title shall be appropriate and the abstract shall be intelligible, even for those who have not read the project. Headings shall provide guidance when reading. The text shall be easy to read and stimulating and the language shall be clear.

1. Poor
4. Fairly poor
6. Good = Pass
8. Very good
10. Excellent

Time has been kept () YES () NO

For the grade of Pass with Distinction, the total time allocated may not have been exceeded by more than 20%.

A poster has been prepared (only for 30/45 credits) () YES () NO

A three-grade scale is used: Fail, Pass and Pass with Distinction.

Degree project (_____ credits):

undertaken by _____ has been marked as follows:

Criteria	Mark (on a scale of 1–10)
1. Ability to understand the task set	
2. Ability to carry out the analyses/theoretical task	
3. Theoretical understanding	
4. Interpretation and analysis of results	
5. Independence	
6. Ambition and interest	
7. Oral presentation	
8. Written presentation	

Total mark: _____

Guidelines for marking: Pass with Distinction = 48–60, Pass = 36–47, Fail = 8–35

Grade for degree project in Geology at Lund University (completed forms are to be passed on to the departmental office as soon as possible)

The degree project (credits)

undertaken by _____

has been awarded the grade:

Chair of the examining committee (examiner):

Other members of the examining committee:

Supervisor:

Lund _____ (date)

Chair's signature

Examiner's comments: